1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	N, LLP
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
14	vs.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL ITS OPPOSITION TO
15 16	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	UBER'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE ORDER RE: WAYMO'S MOTION TO COMPEL
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1	1	

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests

Portions to Be Filed

Under Seal

Portions highlighted

Portions highlighted

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or

Designating Party

Defendants

Waymo

to file under seal information in its July 21, 2017 Opposition to Uber's Motion for Relief from

Nondispositive Order Re: Waymo's Motion to Compel ("Waymo's Opposition"). Specifically,

Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed

in blue

in green

portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under

the law" (i.e., is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored

234

1

56

below:

7 8

9

10

11

I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Document

Portions of Waymo's Opposition

1213

1415

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.

II. <u>UBER'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION</u>

Waymo seeks to seal the portions of Waymo's Opposition (portions highlighted in blue) only because Defendants have designated the information confidential and/or highly confidential. Declaration of Lindsay Cooper ("Cooper Dec."), ¶ 3. Waymo expects Defendants to file one or more declarations in accordance with the Local Rules. *Id*.

III. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the portions of Waymo's Opposition (portions highlighted in green) identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses Waymo's trade secrets and confidential business information. *See* Cooper Dec., ¶ 4. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14–cv–03078–JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal

-2-

document that "consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets."). Confidential business		
information that, if released, may "harm a litigant's competitive standing" also merits sealing. See		
Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to seal confidential		
business information and trade secret information that fit squarely within these categories. Cooper		
Dec. ¶ 4. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the		
information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). Id.		
Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. <i>Id.</i> In fact, both		
Music Grp. and Brocade found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened		
"compelling reasons" standard for sealing. <i>Music Grp.</i> , 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; <i>Brocade</i> , 2013 WL		
211115, at *1, *3. The information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened		
standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret and confidential business information would harm		
Waymo. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is		
consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this		
case. (See Dkt. 681.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal.		
IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>		
In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the		
above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons,		
Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion.		

DATED: July 21, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Charles Verhoeven
Charles Verhoeven

Charles Verhoeven Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA